Facsimile Message From

REGEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER

AUG 1 1 2005

Law Offices PERMAN & GREEN, LLP 425 Post Road

Fairfield, Connecticut 06824 USA

Facsimile Number: (203) 255-5170 Telephone Number: (203) 259-1800

TO:

USPTO

FAX NO:

571 273-8300

MAILSTOP AF

DATE:

August 11, 2005

FROM:

Geza Ziegler Jr.

RE:

09/848,515

P&G Reference:617-010289-US(PAR)

Examiner Minh D. Dao

Art Unit 2683

Number of Pages, including this sheet, being transmitted: 16 pages

Request: 5 pages
Exhibit A: 9 pages
Exhibit B: 1 page

Please confirm receipt of this transmission

The original of this facsimile will be sent to you via mail

Society of the self

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this transmission in error please notify us immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 1 1 2005

APPLICANT(s): Matti Kantola

SERIAL NO.: 09/848,515

ART UNIT:

2683

FILING DATE:

05/03/2001

EXAMINER:

Minh D. Dao

TITLE:

COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION

DEVICES

AND

METHOD

ATTORNEY

DOCKET NO.: 617-010289-US (PAR)

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

INTRODUCTION

This is in response to the Advisory Action mailed on June to the above-identified regard 2005 application.

II. REMARKS

Reconsideration and entry of Applicant's declaration under 37 C.F.R §1.131 is respectfully solicited. The declaration and supporting documents clearly establish that the reference Wang, U.S. Patent No. 6,175, 922, ("Wang"), first cited in the Office Action mailed December 2, 2004, is not a proper prior art reference for purposes of 35 U.S.C. §102(e). The response was timely filed and received by the USPTO. Therefore, the Office Action and rejection of December 2, 2004 is overcome.

Applicant's response to the Office action of December 2, 2004, mailed on May 19, 2005, included Applicant's §1.131 declaration and supporting evidence. The supporting evidence was in the form of an Invention Report. Proof that these documents were timely submitted include the Certificate of Mailing, certifying that the filing occurred on May 19, 2005, as well as the stamped postcard. The "Postcard" indicates receipt by the United States Patent and Trademark Office OIPE on May 23, 2005. Thus, it is submitted that the response and all of the documents referenced in the response were timely filed and received by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 23, 2005. A copy of the response as filed is attached hereto